

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,665	05/21/2001	Nicola Zatelli	854063.496C1	2168	
500	7590 09/25/2002				
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			EXAMINER		
			FARAHANI, DANA		
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2014		

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/862,665	ZATELLI ET AL.	In			
•.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	N'C			
		Dana Farahani	2814				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	fav 2001 .					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
· · · _	on Papers						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 903)  4) Interview Summary (RTO 413) Paper No(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/862,665

Aft Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogura et al., hereinafter Ogura (U.S. Patent 5,681,770).

Regarding claim 1, Ogura discloses in figure 4G a structure comprising an oxide layer 115 located above a body of doped semiconductor material 103 and arranged in a position adjacent to a first gate region 112 of polycrystalline semiconductor material, the oxide layer having a first area; an oxide test region 117 of the same material as the oxide layer and having the same thickness and the same electrical characteristics as the oxide layer; and a polycrystalline region 112 (on the left of the first gate) of the same material as the gate region, having same thickness and the same electrical characteristics as the gate region, and positioned adjacent to the oxide test region, which has a second area greater than the first area (see column 8, lines 43-65).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, polycrystalline region 112 of figure 4G extends along a closed line.

Application/Control Number: 09/862,665 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogura.

Regarding claims 3, 5, and 8, there is a doped semiconductor material body 104; a gate region 112 of polycrystalline semiconductor material; an oxide layer, the right smaller half of layer 117, located above the semiconductor material body adjacent to the gate region and having a first area; and a test region (the left gate (112) area) on the semiconductor material body, the test region including an oxide test region 117 of a same material as the oxide layer and having a thickness equal to the thickness of the oxide layer, having a second area that is greater than the first area.

Ogura does not disclose the oxide test region being very large.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the oxide test region very large in order to make a transistor device suitable for studying the characteristics of it in an educational laboratory.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, a polycrystalline region 114 of figure 4G completely surrounds the oxide test region.

Application/Control Number: 09/862,665

Art Unit: 2814

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (703)305-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703)306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Dana Farahani September 20, 2002

> OLIK CHAUDHURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800